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Diagnostic errors are a major patient safety and liability issue. Studies suggest that 12 million patients are misdiagnosed annually, and 80,000-160,000 of these patients are affected by serious misdiagnoses, such as failure to diagnose cancer. The Institute of Medicine’s report *Improving Diagnosis in Health Care* further elaborates on the burden of these errors by noting that they “are the leading type of paid medical malpractice claims and are almost twice as likely to have resulted in the patient’s death compared to other claims.”

Various factors can contribute to diagnostic errors. MedPro Group closed claims data from 2007 to 2016 show that two of the most common risk factors are clinical judgment and communication. These risk factors, and strategies to address them, are covered in two separate articles: *Clinical Judgment in Diagnostic Errors: Let’s Think About Thinking* and *Communication in the Diagnostic Process*.

Beyond clinical judgment and communication, other risk factors also play a role in diagnostic errors, such as documentation, clinical system failures/administrative issues, and behavioral problems. This article (a) uses MedPro closed claims data to examine how these factors contribute to diagnosis-related allegations, and (b) offers strategies for addressing these risks in the healthcare setting.
Documentation

Accurate and thorough documentation is an essential component of high-quality patient care, and it is crucial in the defense of malpractice claims. Yet, claims data show that documentation issues are consistently in the top five risk factors associated with diagnosis-related claims. These issues occur in almost 1 in 5 diagnosis-related claims, and they generally fall into three categories: insufficient/lack of documentation, content, and mechanics (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Types of Documentation Issues in Diagnosis-Related Claims
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- Insufficient/lack of documentation: 70%
- Content: 22%
- Mechanics: 18%


Insufficient Documentation

Among the categories represented in Figure 1, insufficient documentation dominates. Examples of situations that can lead to documentation deficits include:

- Failure to document attempts to follow up with a patient about care or test results.
- Failure of the healthcare provider to document involvement in a patient’s care.
- Missing documentation in the patient’s health record (e.g., patient problem list, test results, consultations, referrals, signatures indicating review, or medication lists).
- Failure to document adequate details about the patient encounter. This lack of details can be especially problematic in an electronic health record (EHR) system because of reliance on form fields and check boxes.
Consider the following case in which insufficient documentation compounds issues associated with other contributing factors, such as clinical judgment and patient behavior.

**Case Study 1: Insufficient Documentation**

The patient was a 65-year-old female who had been seeing her dentist, Dr. M, for 20 years. She had an extreme build-up of plaque and calculus, deteriorating teeth, and bleeding gums.

The patient was consistently nonadherent to her home oral hygiene instructions. She also would go for long periods between dental appointments — sometimes up to 3 years.

Dr. M advised the patient that she had bone loss and tooth mobility, and he recommended that she consult with a periodontist. The dentist also recommended that the patient come in for dental visits every 3 months. The patient insisted on only having yearly visits, and she did not follow through on seeing the periodontist.

Eventually, the patient required a full mouth extraction and extensive specialized care. She then filed a lawsuit against Dr. M alleging delay in diagnosis and failure to treat.

**Discussion:** Although one could argue that the patient’s nonadherence to her treatment plan and dental appointments played a significant role in her poor dental health, insufficient documentation complicated matters in this case. Despite the fact that the patient had been seeing Dr. M for two decades, documentation in her health record was very sparse.

Dr. M did not document the patient’s general nonadherence to her oral hygiene plan, her inconsistency in presenting for routine care, or any attempts to contact her for follow-up appointments. Additionally, Dr. M failed to document his recommendation that the patient consult with a periodontist.

As a result of the incomplete patient record and insufficient documentation, this case presented significant challenges for the defense and resulted in a settlement.
Content-Related Issues and Mechanics

The other two categories under documentation — content and mechanics — refer to a range of issues. Content-related concerns include problems such as altered documentation (which might suggest an attempt to cover up mistakes), opinions stated as medical facts, inappropriate comments or speculation (e.g., subjective vs. objective information), the proliferation of inaccurate information as a result of the copy/paste function in EHRs, or general inconsistencies in documentation patterns across records.

The mechanics category refers to inaccurate documentation within a factual setting. Examples include inaccuracies in transcribing or writing orders, illegibility (including the use of nonstandard abbreviations, shorthand, or “text talk”), delays in documenting, and failure to use an appropriate method for correcting documentation errors and making amendments.

Documentation Risk Tips

The following strategies can help healthcare providers address documentation risks that could potentially contribute to diagnostic errors:

- Ensure your organization’s documentation policies require providers to document:
  - Sufficient details related to the patient’s history and physical exam. This documentation will help support continuity of care and comparison of findings from previous visits.
  - Patient adherence, including missed and cancelled appointments and attempts to follow up with the patient. Providers should be careful to remain objective in their documentation and avoid editorializing.
  - Treatment plan changes, such as receipt of diagnostic results, follow-up with the patient, patient response, and phone conversations (including after-hours calls).
  - Consultations and referrals, including conversations with the consulting provider, agreed-upon consulting arrangements, and receipt and review of consultation reports.
  - Patient education, including written and verbal advice, recommendations, and educational materials — as well as patient understanding of the information.
• Establish appropriate timeframes for completion of documentation following patient encounters.

• Consider whether documentation supports clinical judgment and decision-making, and whether it clearly identifies how a particular diagnosis was determined.

• Do not include incident reports or criticism of other providers in patient records. Root cause analysis of errors and near-misses should be documented as part of the practice’s risk management and quality improvement efforts.

• Understand and educate staff about the appropriate methods for correcting or amending documentation.

• Ensure that documentation policies address issues unique to electronic documentation, such as the use of copy/paste, form fields, check boxes, etc.

For more helpful documentation strategies, see MedPro’s Documentation Essentials and Electronic Documentation checklists.

Clinical System Failures/Administrative Issues

Clinical system failures and administrative issues are closely aligned in that they both relate to inconsistencies in, or problems associated with, organizational processes. MedPro claims data show that clinical system failures occur in about 1 in 5 diagnosis-related claims, while administrative issues occur in about 1 in 10 of these claims.

Clinical system failures involve breakdowns in office systems and procedures, namely tracking and follow-up systems. Problems with these systems can lead to errors and oversights that might ultimately contribute to diagnostic errors. Examples of possible system problems include:

• Lack of or inadequate processes for tracking test results, consults/referrals, and patient follow-up (regarding test results, missed/cancelled appointments, etc.)
• Insufficient maintenance of films from radiology studies
• Delays in, or failure to, receive test results
• Lack of established timeframes for follow-up
• Failure to assign responsibility for follow-up

MedPro claims data show that the top clinical system issue is failure or delay in reporting findings, which is cited in about half of the diagnosis-related claims involving a clinical system failure, calling attention to the need for healthcare organizations to assess their current tracking and follow-up systems to identify and address vulnerabilities.

Technical issues — such as problems with system interfaces, user errors, software or hardware glitches, and technology failures — also can play a role in clinical system failures.

Administrative issues also are linked to process problems and may include lapses related to health records, adverse event reporting, staffing, and policies/procedures. Examples of administrative issues include:

• Failure of providers and staff to adhere to established protocols
• Lack of staff training and education on office systems and processes
• Inadequate supervision of clinical and nonclinical staff
• Failure to verify patient identifiers

Test Tracking Safeguards

Does your organization’s test tracking system have safeguards to ensure that:

• Ordered tests are scheduled?
• Scheduled tests are completed?
• The organization receives test results?
• The ordering clinician reviews and signs all test results?
• A test reporting form and patient notification process are in place?
• The provider follows up on test results in a specified timeframe?
• Test results are filed in the correct patient record?
• Decisions about care are documented?
• Failure of clinical staff (e.g., a nurse, advanced practice provider, or dental hygienist) to contact a doctor when warranted

Consider the following case, which demonstrates how system failures and administrative issues can cause a delayed diagnosis and patient harm.

**Case Study 2: Clinical System Failures/Administrative Issues**

A 44-year-old female patient with a history of cervical dysplasia and breast masses/fibroadenomas was being monitored by her long-time OB/GYN doctor. Per her doctor’s order, the patient had a breast ultrasound in the office. The images were sent to an imaging center for analysis.

The imaging center promptly reported the results as suspicious for malignancy and recommended a biopsy. Unfortunately, staff at the OB/GYN practice did not follow the appropriate protocol for notifying the doctor of the patient’s results. Thus, the doctor did not review the report, and the report was not filed in the patient’s health record.

The patient had an appointment for a mammogram scheduled for approximately 2 weeks after the ultrasound, but she cancelled the appointment. Subsequently, she made and cancelled two more appointments within a 3-month timeframe.

The patient finally returned to the office 10 months after the ultrasound. At that time, her left breast was red and very firm. A biopsy confirmed invasive ductal carcinoma with lymph node metastases. Although she underwent aggressive treatment, her prognosis was not promising.

**Discussion:** Several missteps occurred in this case, the first of which was administrative. The staff members at the OB/GYN clinic did not follow the appropriate protocol for managing the information they received from the imaging center. This set in motion a domino effect, in which the results were not appropriately reviewed or filed. Because of the improper protocol, the patient wasn’t notified of the findings and wasn’t aware of the severity of her situation.
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Case Study 2: Clinical System Failures/Administrative Issues (continued)

Further complicating the matter was the practice’s “no news is good news” approach to test results. The doctor had not actively involved the patient in the diagnostic process by asking her to follow up if she didn’t receive her results within a specified timeframe. Better patient involvement strategies might have triggered the patient to contact the practice when she didn’t hear from any staff member — thereby incorporating an additional safeguard into the process.

Finally, the practice did not have a well-established policy for following up with patients who missed or cancelled appointments. Thus, when the patient scheduled and cancelled three more appointments, she received no communication that stressed the importance of returning for additional testing/care.

Clinical System/Administrative Risk Tips

The following strategies can help healthcare providers address clinical system and administrative risks that could potentially contribute to diagnostic errors:

- Evaluate organizational processes for test tracking, patient follow-up, and referrals/consults. The identification of any gaps in these processes should prompt proactive measures to implement safeguards.

- Establish appropriate timeframes for following up with patients about test results, lab work, missed/cancelled appointments, etc. Develop a mechanism that will trigger the immediate reporting and follow-up of critical test results.

- Assign responsibility for follow-up activities.

- Verify patient identifiers (e.g., patient name, record number, birth date) as part of information management processes.

- Ensure that staff and provider training is consistent with roles and responsibilities, and ensure that appropriate training on new or upgraded systems is conducted.

- Emphasize the importance of adhering to test and referral/consult tracking processes.
- Evaluate staff and provider competency with clinical systems and ensure appropriate supervision.
- Include a review of clinical systems and administrative functions as part of your practice’s quality improvement initiatives.

**Behavioral Issues**

Patient and provider/staff behavior can influence the dynamic of healthcare encounters and contribute to diagnostic errors and malpractice allegations. Like documentation and clinical system factors, behavioral issues are cited in about 1 in 5 diagnosis related claims, according to MedPro closed claims data from 2007 to 2016.

Generally, behavioral issues can occur with patients or with members of the healthcare team. However, analysis of diagnosis-related claims reveals that the vast majority of behavioral issues are associated with patients. Problematic patient behavior can erode the provider-patient relationship and increase liability risks. Within diagnosis-related claims, three categories related to patient behavior rise to the top, as shown in Figure 2.

**Figure 2. Top Patient Behavioral Issues in Diagnosis-Related Claims**
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- Noncompliance with follow-up calls/appointments: 37%
- Noncompliance with treatment: 30%
- Seeking other providers due to dissatisfaction with care: 15%

Of the three categories shown in Figure 2, patient noncompliance (with follow-up calls, appointments, and treatment) is the most prevalent issue, and it can contribute to poor outcomes. Consider the following scenario.

**Case Study 3: Patient Behavioral Issues**

A 72-year-old female with a history of Type 1 diabetes mellitus presented to her doctor with complaints of swelling in her right groin area. Five days earlier, the patient had self-inserted a needle into the area to drain it. The area was noted as red and warm, with a tender, grape-sized abscess.

The doctor incised the abscess, placed a small rubber drain, and obtained a culture (which was negative). He prescribed an oral antibiotic and advised the patient that additional surgery to fully open and drain the abscess was recommended. However, the doctor did not document this discussion in the patient’s health record, and he did not initiate a formal consultation with a surgeon.

The patient decided to forego the additional surgery, but returned to the doctor’s office 4 days later. At that time, the doctor readjusted the drain. He noted that the area was still red and swollen; a second culture was negative (although it was later alleged that the culture was not deep enough). The doctor again advised the patient that further surgical drainage was needed, but he again failed to document the advice.

Two days after the patient’s second appointment, she was admitted to the hospital and diagnosed with right leg necrotizing fasciitis. She required an amputation of the right leg at the hip, and she underwent debridement multiple times and suffered extensive tissue loss.

**Discussion:** Although a number of missteps in this case can be attributed to the provider — such as inadequate patient assessment, failure to obtain a consult, and insufficient documentation — the patient’s noncompliance with the doctor’s recommendation for additional surgery very likely contributed to her poor outcome. Further, as this case and the case on page 3 illustrate, behavioral issues are very closely related to other areas of risk, and these issues can compound to produce more serious outcomes.
Noncompliance and other problematic patient behaviors — such as unrealistic demands and expectations, hostility, rudeness, disruptive actions, etc. — might not always be obvious from the outset; however, some red flags for behavior issues may include patients who:

- Have unrecognized or untreated psychiatric disorders
- Have substance use/abuse issues
- Are frequent fliers with a history of multiple diagnostic tests
- Lie or are verbally rude or demanding
- Have repetitive and/or varying complaints without clear clinical significance
- Make frequent requests for refunds or waivers of fees

Although behavioral issues can be difficult to address due to their sensitive nature, healthcare providers and staff members can work to effectively manage patient behavior by setting clear expectations and boundaries, establishing limitations, and thoroughly documenting patient noncompliance and disruptive incidents.

**Behavior-Related Risk Tips**

The following strategies can help healthcare providers address behavioral issues that could potentially contribute to diagnostic errors:

- Establish a policy defining the basic rights and responsibilities of each patient. This policy provides a foundation on which to build an effective provider-patient relationship. Display or make this information available to all patients.
- During each patient encounter, emphasize the importance of following the prescribed treatment regimen, even if symptoms subside. Explain the possible consequences of not following the agreed-upon treatment plan.
- Consider patients’ lifestyles and medication costs when prescribing. A variety of situational, geographic, economic, and cultural issues can contribute to issues of noncompliance.
• If a patient has financial, physical, or emotional limitations that lead to noncompliance, determine whether any community services are available to assist the patient and improve compliance.

• With the patients’ permission, include family members/significant others in education about the importance of following treatment plans. These individuals might help reinforce the importance of compliance.

• Use the teach-back, or repeat-back, technique to ensure that patients fully understand the information and instructions provided. Patients from all backgrounds might have limited health literacy, which can lead to noncompliance.

• Provide patients with education about their treatment plans both verbally and in writing, especially if the patient has serious health problems.

• If a patient is missing appointments, try to determine the reason. Consider transportation, family care, or financial issues that may prevent the patient from keeping appointments.

• After several missed appointments, consider sending the patient a letter stressing the importance of keeping the appointments and complying with the treatment plan.

• Document missed or cancelled appointments by noting either “patient no-show” or “cancelled” in the patient’s record. If possible, note the reason for a cancellation – e.g., “no transportation” or “child care issues.”

• Do not delete original appointment entries, as the appointment log may become a valuable tool if you have to demonstrate a patient’s continued noncompliance with appointments.

• Document a description of all clinical noncompliance, as well any education provided to the patient and/or family regarding the consequences of not following the treatment regimen. When documenting noncompliance, use subjective statements from the patient and/or family and objective information obtained through patient encounters.
• Avoid disparaging remarks or editorializing when documenting information related to patients’ noncompliant behaviors.

• Consider using behavior contracts to address problematic patient behaviors in an effort to preserve the provider-patient relationship (or to support the process of terminating the provider-patient relationship).

**Take-Away Message**

Various risk factors, occurring alone or in combination, can contribute to diagnostic errors. Documentation, clinical system failures/administrative issues, and behavioral problems all represent persistent areas of risk in diagnosis-related malpractice allegations. Although eliminating risk entirely is unrealistic, proactive measures to establish safeguards, reinforce policies and procedures, and evaluate areas of weakness can help healthcare providers strengthen patient safety initiatives and potentially limit liability exposure.
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